Graph of Complex Networks

Angel Garrido

Facultad de Ciencias de la UNED

Abstract

Our paper analyzes some new lines to advance on quickly evolving concepts, the so-called Entropy, or its Symmetry/Asymmetry degrees, on graphs in general. It will be very necessary to analyze the mutual relationship between some fuzzy measures, with their very interesting applications, as may be the case of Graph Entropy and Graph Symmetry; in particular, working on Complex Networks and Systems.

Keywords: Measure Theory, Fuzzy Measures, Symmetry, Entropy.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 68R10, 68R05, 05C78, 78M35.

Enlarged Abstract

We need to analyze here some very interrelated concepts about a graph, such as may be their Symmetry/Asymmetry degrees, their Entropies, etc. It may be applied when we study the different types of Systems; in particular, on Complex Networks.

A *system* can be defined as *a set of components functioning together as a whole.* A systemic point of view allows us to isolate a part of the world, and so, we can focus on those aspect that interact more closely than others.

Network Science is a new scientific field that analyzes the interconnection among diverse networks, as for instance, on Physics, Engineering, Biology, Semantics, and so on. Between its developers, we may remember to Duncan Watts, with the *Small-World Network,* and Albert-László Barabasi, which developed the *Scale-Free Network.* About its work, *Barabási* has found that the websites that form the network (of the WWW) have certain mathematical properties.

Network Theory is an quickly expanding area of Network and Computer Sciences, and also may be considered a part of Graph Theory. *Complex Networks are everywhere.* Many phenomena in nature can be modeled as a network, as brain structures, social interactions or the World Wide Web (WWW). All such systems can be represented in terms of nodes and edges. In Internet the nodes represent routers and the edges the physical connections between them. In transport networks, the nodes can represent the cities and the edges the roads that connect them. These edges can have weights.

[1] COLOGNE TWENTE WORKSHOP

These networks are not random. The topology of different networks are very close . They follow from the Power Law, with a scale free structure. How can very different systems have the same underlying topological features? Searching the hidden laws of these networks, modeling and characterizing them are the current lines of research.

Graph theory has emerged as a primary tool for detecting numerous hidden structures in various information networks, including Internet graphs, social networks, biological networks, or more generally, any graph representing relations in massive data sets. Analyzing these structures is very useful to introduce concepts as Graph Entropy and Graph Symmetry.

We consider a functional on a graph, $G = (V, E)$, with P a probability distribution on its node (or vertex) set, V. The mathematical construct called as *Graph Entropy* will be denoted by GE. Such function is convex. It tends to $+\infty$ on the boundary of the non-negative orthant of R^n. And monotonically to $-\infty$ along rays from the origin. So, such minimum is always achieved and it will be finite.

The entropy of a system represent the amount of uncertainty one observer has about the state of the system. The simplest example of a system will be a random variable, which can be shown by a node into the graph, being their edges the representation of the mutual relationship between them. Information measures the amount of correlation between two systems, and it reduces to a mere difference in entropies. So, the *Entropy of a Graph* is a measure of graph structure, or lack of it. Therefore, it may be interpreted as the amount of Information, or the degree of "surprise", communicated by a message. And as the basic unit of Information is the bit, Entropy also may be viewed as the number of bits of "randomness" in the graph, verifying that *the higher the entropy, the more random is the graph.*

As we known, *Symmetry* into a system means invariance of its elements under a group of transformations. When we take Network Structures, it means invariance of adjacency of nodes under the permutations on node set.

The graph isomorphism is an equivalence, or equality, as relation on the set of graphs. Therefore, it partitions the class of all graphs into equivalence classes. The underlying idea of isomorphism is that some objects have the same structure, if we omit the individual character of their components. A set of graphs isomorphic to each other is denominated an *isomorphism class of graphs.*

An *automorphism of a graph,* $G = (V,\ E)$, will be an isomorphism from G onto itself. The family of all automorphisms of a graph G is a permutation group on *V(G).* The inner operation of such group is the composition of permutations. Its name is very well-known, the *Automorphism Group of G,* and abridgedly, it is denoted by $Aut(G)$. And conversely, all groups may be represented as the automorphism group of a connected graph.The *automorphism group* is an *algebraic invariant* of a graph. So, we can say that *an automorphism of a graph is a form of symmetry* in which the graph is mapped onto itself while preserving the edge-node connectivity. Such automorphic tool may be applied both on Directed Graphs (DGs) and on Undirected Graphs (UGs).

About another interesting concept in Mathematics, the word *"genus"* has different, but very related, meanings. So, in Topology, it depends on to consider orientable or non-orientable surfaces. In the case of *connected and orientable surfaces,* it is an integer that represents the maximum number of cuttings, along closed simple curves, without rendering the resultant manifold disconnected. For this reason, we may said that it is the number of *"handles"* on it. Usually, it is denoted by the letter g.

It will be also definable through the Euler number, or *Euler Characteristic,* denoted by χ. Such relationship will be expressed, for *closed surfaces,* by $\chi = 2 - 2g$. When the surface has b boundary components, this equation transforms to $\chi = 2 - 2g - b$, which obviously generalizes the above equation. For example, a *sphere,* an *annulus,* or a *disc* have genus $g = 0$. Instead of this, a *torus* has $g = 1$.

In the case of *non-orientable surfaces,* the *genus* of a closed and connected surface is a positive integer, representing the number of cross-caps attached to a sphere. Recall that a *cross-cap* is a two-dimensional surface that is topologically equivalent to a Möbius string. As in the precedent analysis, it can be expressed in terms of the Euler characteristic, by $\chi = 2 - 2k$, being k the *non-orientable genus.* For example, a *projective plane* has non-orientable genus $k = 1$. And a *Klein bottle* has a non-orientable genus $k = 2$.

Turning to graphs, its corresponding genus will be the minimal integer, n, such that the graph can be drawn without crossing itself on a sphere with n *handles.* So, a *planar graph* has genus $n = 0$, because it can be drawn on a sphere without self-crossing.

In the *non-orientable case,* the genus will be also the minimal integer, n, such that the graph can be drawn without crossing itself on a sphere with n *cross-caps.* If we pass now to topological graph theory, we will define as *genus of a group, G,* the minimum genus of any of the undirected and connected Cayley graphs for G. From the viewpoint of the Computational Complexity, *the problem of "graph genus" is NP-complete.*

We will says either *graph invariant* or *graph property,* when it depends only of the abstract structure, not on graph representations, such as particular labelings or drawings of the graph. So, we may define a *graph property* as every property that is preserved under all its possible isomorphisms of the graph. Therefore, it will be *a property of the graph itself,* not depending on the representation of the graph. The semantic difference also consists in its character *quantitative* or *quantitative.* For instance, when we said *"the graph does not possess directed edges"*, this will be a *property,* because it is a *qualitative* statement. While when we says *"the number of nodes of degree two in such graph",* this would be an *invariant,* because it is a *quantitative* statement.

From a mathematically strict viewpoint, *a graph property* can be interpreted as *a class of graphs,* composed by the graphs that have in common the accomplishment of some conditions. Hence, also can be defined a graph property as a function whose domain would be the set of graphs, and its range will be the bivalued set composed by two options, true and false, according which a determinate condition is either verified or violated for the graph.

A graph property is called *hereditary*, if it is inherited by its induced subgraphs. And it is *additive,* if it is closed under disjoint union. For example, the property of a graph to be planar is both additive and hereditary. Instead of this, the property of being connected is neither.

The computation of certain graph invariants may be very useful, with the purpose to discriminate when two graphs are isomorphic, or rather non-isomorphic. The support of these criteria will be that for any invariant at all, two graphs with different values cannot be isomorphic between them. But however, two graphs with the same invariants may or may not be isomorphic between them. So, we will arrive to the notion of *completeness.*

A previous result, due to Cvetkovic, Doob, and Sachs, said that *a digraph contains no cycle iff all eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix are equal to zero.*

It is possible to prove that *every group is the automorphism group of a graph.* If the group is finite, the graph may be taken to be finite. And Pólya observed that *not every group is the automorphism group of a tree.*

The structural information content will be the entropy of the underlying graph topology. A method for determining the entropy of graphs, and therefore, of Complex networks, is possible in each j-sphere. So, a network is said *asymmetric,* if its automorphism group reduces to the identity group. I.e. it only contains the identity permutation. Otherwise, the network is called *symmetric.* I.e. when the automorphism have elements different to the identity. Current research have revealed a very surprising result, according which the interaction networks displayed by most complex systems are highly heterogeneous.